

Bioscience Industry 2009 Legislative Survey:

1. Name:

Julia Lynn

2. What is the office seat you are running for and in what district is that seat?

Senate District 9

3. FEDERAL RESEARCH INITIATIVES - Do you support recruiting large-scale federal research initiatives to Kansas, such as the National Bio and Agro Defense Facility which several state stakeholders are currently trying to recruit to Manhattan, Kansas? If yes, how involved should the Kansas legislature be in these recruitment efforts? Do you feel the state of Kansas has assembled the right kind of coalition to go after projects of this magnitude?

Yes. I voted in support of this initiative on the Senate floor. Our Kansas Legislature should step up the recruitment efforts and make this a top priority.

4. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT - At what level of funding do you support the following state-funded economic development agencies, programs and incentives?

	Increase Funding	Maintain Funding	Decrease Funding	Eliminate Funding
Kansas Bioscience Authority (KBA)	-	Selected	-	-
Kansas Technology Enterprise Corporation (KTEC)	-	Selected	-	-
Angel Investor Tax Credits	Selected	-	-	-
Research & Development Tax Credits	Selected	-	-	-
Business Machinery & Equipment Tax Credits	Selected	-	-	-
High Performance Incentive Program (HPIP) Investment Tax Credits	Selected	-	-	-
HPIP Training & Education Tax Credits	Selected	-	-	-

5. ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT - Using your answers to the economic development funding question above as a guide, please explain your rationale for either increasing, maintaining, decreasing or eliminating funding for each agency, program or incentive. Also, please use the space below to explain any new program/incentive ideas you may wish to introduce or how you plan on reallocating resources.

I support tax credits to those investing actual dollars into our economy to grow jobs and opportunity. I would like to see funding for the agencies responsible for growing investments be maintained until see actual strong ROI on our investments. We must continue to provide a business-friendly climate to those who invest here and also balance the ROI with any increased taxpayer support.

6. STEM CELLS - During the 2008 Kansas legislative session, legislators did not engage in much stem cell research or definition discussion, while in other states (such as Missouri), the topic seemed to dominate their legislative session. Do you feel that stem cell definitions and guidelines should be determined at the federal level or at the state level?

Local control is always best. I support definitions/guidelines spelled out at the state level.

7. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY PROTECTION - Do you support helping to establish guidelines for intellectual property (IP) protection for bioscience companies who wish to partner and engage in research projects with Kansas' research universities?

Yes. This is intelligence that will be grown in Kansas and our Kansas businesses and families should benefit directly from the investments.

8. BIOSCIENCE INDUSTRY GROWTH - What are one or two areas where you feel you could impact the growth of the biosciences industry in Kansas?

I am the seated State Senator and have a record of supporting BioScience legislation. One important leg of the Research Triangle is to be housed in my district. I understand and support the development and growth of this industry as it benefits surrounding support businesses such as housing and construction, highway maintenance and development, and a host of other support business who will no doubt bring dollars to our tax base and increase property values in the district. It is a big opportunity to capitalize on our workforce, our infrastructure, and partner with current public and private educational institutions to grow and develop this important economy.